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## Data Preparation

Many qualitative issues with data
Data Preparation: Preprocessing tasks to prepare data for enhanced analysis

## Data Compression

Data Compression deals with large volumes of data

- Given a point set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Find
- a compression scheme $f: X \mapsto X^{\prime}$
$\triangleright$ encoder
- a decompressor $g: X^{\prime} \mapsto X$
$\triangleright$ decoder
- objective is to minimize

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}-g\left(f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right\|^{p}
$$

- called $\ell_{p}$-reconstruction error
- $g$ is not necessarily $=f^{-1}$
- If $g=f^{-1}$, compression is called Lossless otherwise it is Lossy


## Data Compression

source: percona.com data

Lossless Compression
Huffman
Shannon Fano
Lossy Compression JPEG MPEG



## Data Compression

## Data Compression deals with large volumes of data



## Low Distortion Embedding

■ Given two metric spaces $(X, d)$ and $\left(Y, d^{\prime}\right)$ and a real $\alpha>0$, Find ■ an embedding function $f: X \mapsto Y$ such that

$$
\forall x_{i}, x_{j} \in X \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leq d^{\prime}\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \leq d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)
$$



## Low Distortion Embedding

■ Given two metric spaces $(X, d)$ and $\left(Y, d^{\prime}\right)$ and a real $\alpha>0$, Find

- an embedding function $f: X \mapsto Y$ such that

$$
\forall x_{i}, x_{j} \in X \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leq d^{\prime}\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \leq d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)
$$

- Points in $X$ embedded into $Y$ almost preserving pairwise distances
- The space $Y$ may be easy to work with
- The distance metric $d^{\prime}$ may be computationally nicer
- Graph vertices with shortest paths distances embedded to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}, \ell_{2}\right)$

■ Sequences with edit distance embedded into Euclidean space

## Multi-Dimensional Scaling

- Given $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and pairwise distance matrix $D=\left\{d_{i j}\right\}$, Find
- A $k$-dimensional representation $\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ for points in $X$

$$
\forall x_{i}, x_{j} \in X \quad d\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, x_{j}^{\prime}\right) \sim D(i, j)
$$



- Many methods depending on whether or not the given and required distance measure is metric or Euclidean


## Representation Learning

Automatically learn a representation for the dataset for further analysis

Usually we represent data points with vectors

Basically deals with the Varity of Big Data

Also called feature learning, feature engineering, feature vector representation

## Representation Learning



## Dimensionality Reduction

■ We discussed many issues with large dimensions

- We focus on computational aspect of the curse
- Processing time

■ Storage capacity

- Communication bandwidth

■ Our goal is to reduce dimensionality of the dataset, while preserving pairwise distances

- There may be other objectives for dimensionality reduction, we will mention some later


## Dimensionality Reduction

Given a point set $\mathcal{X}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, Find
a dimensionality reduction function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{k}, k \ll m$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall x_{i}, x_{j} \in \mathcal{X} \quad(1-\epsilon) d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leq d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \leq(1+\epsilon) d(x, y) \\
& x_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
3207188753 \ldots 5314
\end{array}\right] \\
& x_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
2417089762 \ldots 2987
\end{array}\right] \\
& f\left(x_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
8 & 7 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& f\left(x_{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
9 & 7 & 5 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dimensionality Reduction

■ Given a point set $\mathcal{X}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, Find
■ a dimensionality reduction function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{k}, k \ll m$ such that

$$
\forall x_{i}, x_{j} \in \mathcal{X} \quad(1-\epsilon) d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leq d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \leq(1+\epsilon) d(x, y)
$$



- A special case of low distortion embedding
- distance measure $d$ is the same in both domain and co-domain
- Different than data compression
- do not require $x \simeq f(x)$, but only $d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \simeq d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$


## Dimensionality Reduction

Two broad methods:
Specific methods depends on the objective

Feature Selection



1 Feature Selection

- Select a few variables that are the most relevant and discard the rest

2 Feature Extraction

- Create new features from data
- New features usually are linear or non-linear combination of old ones
- Objective: least reconstruction error or maximum inter-class separation


## Dimensionality Reduction: Feature Selection



■ Feature Selection: Select a fixed subset of coordinates

- All meaningful information (at least about some classes of points) may be in the remaining coordinates

■ Select a random subset of coordinates

- All meaningful information may still be in the not-sampled coordinates (esp. for small sample size and many classes)
■ Feature Aggregation A form of feature extraction. Aggregate groups of coordinates e.g. means of $k$ groups of $n / k$ coordinates
- Can construct examples where it will not work
- Depends on how groups are made, a deterministic strategy can be countered by adversary and randomized one may also have problems


## Dimensionality Reduction: Feature Selection

Eliminate/select feature based on a goodness measure - (ir)relevance score

■ Feature variance - eliminate coordinate with close to 0 variance

- Eliminate one in every pair of attributes with close to $\pm 1$ correlation
- Eliminate features "independent" of class variable ( $\rho$ or $\chi^{2}$ )

■ For each feature find training accuracy of classifier based on that feature only - eliminate those with low accuracy

- Score based on normalized mutual information, information gain, conditional entropy
$\triangleright$ relevance score
- We discussed a domain specific criterion of eliminating features - stop word removal for text analysis


## Dimensionality Reduction

Given a point set $\mathcal{X}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, Find
a dimensionality reduction function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{k}, k \ll m$ such that

$$
\forall x_{i}, x_{j} \in \mathcal{X} \quad(1-\epsilon) d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leq d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \leq(1+\epsilon) d(x, y)
$$



Dimensionality Reduction can be Data Dependent or Data Oblivious

## Dimensionality Reduction

As a warm-up exercise, suppose the $m$-d data lies on a line


## Projection

- Let $\mathbf{v}$ be a unit vector, let $\ell$ be a line in the direction of $\mathbf{v}$

■ Find the point $\mathbf{p}$ on $\ell$ that is closest to a vector $\mathbf{u}$

- The line connecting $\mathbf{u}$ to $\mathbf{p}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{v}$

■ Otherwise $\mathbf{p}$ will not be the closest point (Pythagoras theorem)

- The point (vector) $\mathbf{p}$ is called the the projection of $\mathbf{u}$ on $\mathbf{v}$



## Dot product and Projection

- Find the projection $\mathbf{p}$ of $\mathbf{u}$ on $\mathbf{v}$

■ For general vectors we derive it from dot product

- $\mathbf{p}$ is just scaled vector $\mathbf{v}, p=a \mathbf{v}$, find that scalar $a$
- $\mathbf{u}-p=\mathbf{u}-a \mathbf{v}$ is perpendicular on $\mathbf{v}$

■ $\mathbf{v} \cdot(\mathbf{u}-\mathrm{av})=0$
■ Hence $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}-a \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0$

- Which means $a \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$
- $\mathbf{a}=\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}}=\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}$



## Dimensionality Reduction

As a warm-up exercise, suppose the $m$-d data lies on a line $\ell$


■ Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the unit vector in direction of $\ell$
$\square$ For $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \in X$, let $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right):=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$
■ In this case, since $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}=\mathbf{x}_{i}$ (as $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ lies on $\ell$ ), we get

$$
\forall i, j \quad\left\|f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)-f\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)\right\|=\left\|\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\|=\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\|
$$

## Dimensionality Reduction

If the $m$-d data lies on a plane with orthonormal basis $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{k}$


■ Let $\mathbf{V}$ be the matrix with $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{k}$ as columns
$■$ For $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \in X$, let $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right):=\mathbf{x} \mathbf{V}$, we get

$$
\forall i, j \quad\left\|f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)-f\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)\right\|=\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{V}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{V}\right\|=\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\|
$$

We get 0 error (no-distortion) dimensionality reduction $\triangleright$ Do not know $\mathbf{V}$

## Dimensionality Reduction: Sidenote




We can find the low dimensional space to which the data is close by

- Similar to (multiple) linear regression, but

1 Error here is perpendicular distance not vertical distance
2 Goal there is to minimize SSE, here it is to minimize pairwise distances
■ With modified goals can take this approach but it is data dependent dimensionality reduction $\triangleright$ Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

## Linear Dimensionality Reduction

Given a point set $\mathcal{X}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, Find a linear function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{k}, \quad k \ll m \quad$ such that

$$
\forall x_{i}, x_{j} \in \mathcal{X} \quad(1-\epsilon) d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leq d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \leq(1+\epsilon) d(x, y)
$$

■ $f$ can be represented by a linear transformation $A$, i.e. $f(\mathcal{X})=A \mathcal{X}$ $\triangleright \mathcal{X}$ : the $n \times m$ data matrix with each $x_{i} \in \mathcal{X}$ as a row


$$
(1-\epsilon)\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|^{2} \leq(1+\epsilon)\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2}
$$

- Feature selection/extraction are also linear dimensionality reduction


## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

## Theorem

Given $\mathcal{X}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$. For $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 2)$, there exists a linear map $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}, \quad k=c \log n / \epsilon^{2} \quad$ such that $\quad$ for any $x_{i}, x_{j} \in \mathcal{X}$

$$
(1-\epsilon)\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{j}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq(1+\epsilon)\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{2}
$$

- Distance matrix computation now takes $O\left(n^{2} \frac{\log n}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ instead of $O\left(n^{2} m\right)$
- Nearest neighbor computation now takes $O\left(n \frac{\log n}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)$ instead of $O(n m)$

Note: the lemma works only for $\ell_{2}$ distance

## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

Data: 20-newsgroups, from 100.000 features to 300 ( $0.3 \%$ )
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## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

## Data: 20-newsgroups, from 100.000 features to 1.000 (1\%)
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## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

## Data: 20-newsgroups, from 100.000 features to 10.000 (10\%)
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## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Proof

- A constructive proof of JL lemma: project $\mathcal{X}$ onto $k$ random directions

■ Choose $k$ random unit vectors $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$
■ Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the $m \times k$ matrix with $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{k}$ as columns
■ Each row of $\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{X} \mathcal{V}$ is the reduced dimensional version of $x_{i}$


## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Proof

Recall how to generate random unit vectors
$\triangleright$ random directions
$\mathbf{v}=(\underbrace{\mathcal{N}(0,1), \mathcal{N}(0,1), \ldots, \mathcal{N}(0,1)}_{m \text {-coordinates }})$, normalized by $\|v\|$ is a provably
random unit vector
$\triangleright$ a point on the surface of the unit $m$-ball

We also discussed that the more discrete version $\mathbf{v} \in[-1,1]^{m}$ is a good enough approximation of a random unit vector

We give the sketch of the constructive proof of JL-Lemma by projecting on such random unit vectors

## Approximate Random Direction

Generating a random direction in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$

$$
\mathbf{v}=(\underbrace{\mathcal{N}(0,1), \mathcal{N}(0,1), \ldots, \mathcal{N}(0,1)}_{m \text {-coordinates }})
$$

normalized by $\|\mathbf{v}\|$


- Approximately generate unit directions
- generate directions towards corners of the $m$-cubes $[-1,1]^{m}$

■ For $m \gg 1$, these $2^{m}$ directions approximately cover surface of $m$-ball

- Achlioptas (2003), Database-friendly random projections:



## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Proof

Generate a random direction $\mathbf{v} \in\{-1,1\}^{m}$
For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \quad$ let $\quad f_{v}(\mathbf{x})=\langle\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}\rangle=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}$

$$
E\left[\left(f_{v}(\mathbf{x})-f_{v}(\mathbf{y})\right)^{2}\right]=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)^{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)^{2} E\left[\mathbf{v}_{i}^{2}\right]
$$

■ Note that dimensionality of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ is reduced to only 1
$■ E\left[\mathbf{v}_{i}^{2}\right]=1 \Longrightarrow E\left[\left\|f_{v}(\mathbf{x})-f_{v}(\mathbf{y})\right\|^{2}\right]=\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}$
Two Issues with this result
1 We want to preserve distances almost surely, not in expectation only
2 We want guarantee on distances not squared distances

- $E\left[X^{2}\right]=\mu^{2} \nRightarrow E[X]=\mu$
- $X= \begin{cases}0 & \text { w. prob. } 1 / 2 \\ 1 & \text { else }\end{cases}$

$$
E[X]=1, \text { while } E\left[X^{2}\right]=2, \text { and } \sqrt{2} \neq 1
$$

## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Proof

Resolve issues with probability amplification - repeated independent trials Generate $k$ random directions $\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{v}^{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}^{k} \in\{-1,1\}^{m}$, scale by $1 / \sqrt{k}$ For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, let $f(\mathbf{x})=\left(f_{v^{1}}(x), f_{v^{2}}(x), \ldots, f_{v^{k}}(x)\right) \quad$ i.e. $f(\mathbf{x})[i]=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}^{i}$ $E\left[\|f(\mathbf{x})-f(\mathbf{y})\|^{2}\right]=E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(f_{v j}(\mathbf{x})-f_{v j}(\mathbf{y})\right)^{2}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{k} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}\right)^{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)^{2}\right]$

$$
E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}\right)^{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)^{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)^{2} E\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{\|x-y\|^{2}}{k}
$$

Thus

$$
E\left[\|f(x)-f(y)\|^{2}\right]=\|x-y\|^{2}
$$

In expectation, mapping $f$ preserves the squared $\ell_{2}$ distance between a pair

## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Proof

The $\ell_{2}^{2}$-distance in reduced dimensions is concentrated around its mean Using Hoeffding's inequality (intervals for $X_{j}$ 's hidden in constants), we get

There exists constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, such that

- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\|f(x)-f(y)\|^{2} \geq(1+\epsilon)\|x-y\|^{2}\right) \leq e^{-c_{1} \epsilon^{2} k}$
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\|f(x)-f(y)\|^{2} \leq(1-\epsilon)\|x-y\|^{2}\right) \leq e^{-c_{2} \epsilon^{2} k}$

Thus, there is some constant $c$, such that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left((1-\epsilon)\|x-y\|^{2} \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\|^{2} \leq(1+\epsilon)\|x-y\|^{2}\right) \geq 1-e^{-c \epsilon^{2} k}
$$

- Choose $k$ so $e^{-c \epsilon^{2} k}<1 / n^{3} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad k \geq 1 / \epsilon^{2}(\log (n)+\log (1 / c))$
- By union bound probability that some pair is 'bad' is at most $1 / n$

■ With prob. $\geq 1-1 / n$ squared $\ell_{2}$-distance is preserved for all pairs

## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Remarks

■ Exact proof of JL-lemma uses vectors $\mathbf{v}^{j}$ 's from $\mathcal{N}(0,1)^{m}$

- Original proof was actually different, required $\boldsymbol{v}^{j}$ 's to be orthonormal
- Dimensionality of resulting space, $k$ is $O\left(1 / \epsilon^{2}(\log (n)+\log (1 / c))\right)$

■ $k$ is independent of $m$ (original dimensions) and depends on $n$ only

- $k \propto \epsilon$ (the error margin), require less error, $k$ naturally would grow
- This is essentially the best for linear maps $\triangleright$ Larsen \& Nelson (2016), The Johnson Lindenstrauss lemma is optimal for linear dimenisonality reduction

■ Even other maps can't do much better
$\triangleright$ Larsen \& Nelson (2017), Optimality of the Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma

- Can precompute the matrix $\mathcal{V}$
$\triangleright$ Data Oblivious
■ No need to store this matrix - can generate it using a random number generator with fixed seeds or hash functions $\triangleright$ streaming algorithms


## Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Remarks

- JL lemma works only for the $\ell_{2}$ distance
- Meaning random projection may not work for other distance measures

■ To preserve $\ell_{1}$-distance within ( $1 \pm \epsilon$ ), the number of dimensions required $k$ is $\geq n^{1 / 2-O(\epsilon \log (1 / \epsilon))}$
$\triangleright$ Brinkman \& Charikar (2003), On the impossibility of dimension reduction in $\ell_{1}$
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