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## The MAX-3-SAT Problem

- Given $n$ Boolean variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$
- Each can take a value of $0 / 1$ (true/false)
- A literal is a variable appearing in some formula as $x_{i}$ or $\bar{x}_{i}$
- A clause of size 3 is an OR of three literals
- A 3-CNF formula is AND of one or more clauses of size $\leq 3$
- A formula is satisfiable if there is an assignment of $0 / 1$ values to the variables such that the formula evaluates to 1 (or true)

3-SAT $(f)$ problem: Is there a satisfying assignment for 3-CNF formula $f$ ?

MAX-3-SAT $(f)$ problem: Find an assignment for 3-CNF formula $f$ that satisfies the maximum number of clauses
MAX-3-SAT

MAX-3-SAT $(f)$ problem: Find an assignment for 3-CNF formula $f$ that satisfies the maximum number of clauses

- The problem is NP-Hard

■ Brute Force: Try all $2^{n}$ possible assignments in $\mathcal{O}\left(m 2^{n}\right)$
$\triangleright m$ is the number of clauses

## MAX-3-SAT

MAX-3-SAT $(f)$ problem: Find an assignment for 3-CNF formula $f$ that satisfies the maximum number of clauses

Randomized Algorithm
Simple Idea: Toss a coin, and independently set each variable to true with probability $1 / 2$

What is the expected number of clauses satisfied by a random assignment?

## MAX-3-SAT

A random assignment to variables satisfies in expectation $7 \mathrm{~m} / 8$ clauses of a 3 -CNF formula $f$ with $m$ clauses

Let $Z_{j}$ be the random variable $\quad Z_{j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if clause } C_{j} \text { is satisfied } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
$E\left[Z_{j}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[C_{j}\right.$ is satisfied $]=1-\operatorname{Pr}\left[C_{j}\right.$ is not satisfied $]$
$C_{j}$ is not satisfied when all literals in $C_{j}$ are set to FALSE (independently)
Thus, $\operatorname{Pr}\left[C_{j}\right.$ is not satisfied $]=(1 / 2)^{3}=1 / 8 \quad \triangleright E\left[Z_{j}\right]=7 / 8$
Let $Z$ be the number of clauses satisfied by the random assignment

$$
E[Z]=\sum_{j=1}^{m} E\left[Z_{j}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{7}{8}=\frac{7 m}{8} \quad \triangleright \text { linearity of expectation }
$$

## MAX-3-SAT Las Vegas 7/8-Approximation

For any instance of MAX-3-SAT with $m$ clauses, there exists a truth assignment which satisfies at least $7 \mathrm{~m} / 8$ clauses

There is a non-zero probability that a random variable takes the value of its expectation
$\triangleright$ Pigeon-hole principle of expectation

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[Z \geq E[Z]]>0
$$

## Probabilistic Method:

Prove the existence of a non-obvious property by showing that a random construction produces it with positive probability

## MAX-3-SAT Las Vegas (7/8)-Approximation

Is there a $7 / 8$ Las Vegas approximation algorithm for MAX-3-SAT?

- guaranteed to find an assignment satisfying at least $7 \mathrm{~m} / 8$ clauses
- expected runtime is polynomial

Standard trick: Repeatedly generate a random assignment $A$ to variables until $A$ satisfies at least $7 \mathrm{~m} / 8$ clauses

Suppose $\operatorname{Pr}[A$ satisfies $\geq 7 m / 8$ clauses $] \geq p$
Then, expected number of trials to find this assignment is $1 / p$
$\triangleright$ Expectation of geometric random variable

If $p$ is polynomial, then expected running time is polynomial

## MAX-3-SAT Las Vegas (7/8)-Approximation

Probability $p$ that a random assignment satisfies $\geq 7 \mathrm{~m} / 8$ clauses is $\geq 1 / 8 \mathrm{~m}$
$p_{j}$ : probability that the random assignment satisfies exactly $j$ clauses

$$
\triangleright j=1,2, \cdots, m
$$

Lower bound on $p$ using $E[Z]=7 m / 8$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E[Z]=\sum_{j=0}^{m} j p_{j}=\sum_{j<\frac{7 m}{8}} j p_{j}+\sum_{j \geq \frac{7 m}{8}} j p_{j} \leq \frac{7 m-1}{8} \sum_{j<\frac{7 m}{8}} p_{j}+m \sum_{j \geq \frac{7 m}{8}} p_{j} \\
\Longrightarrow E[Z] \leq \frac{7 m-1}{8} \cdot 1+m \cdot p \Longrightarrow \frac{7 m}{8} \leq \frac{7 m-1}{8}+m p \Longrightarrow p \geq \frac{1}{8 m}
\end{gathered}
$$

MAX-3-SAT cannot be approximated in polynomial time to within a ratio greater than $7 / 8$, unless $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP} \quad \triangleright$ [Hástad 1997]

## MAX-3-SAT: Derandomization

Random choices by an algorithm sometimes happen to be 'good'
$\triangleright$ i.e. the out the randomized algorithm is close to the optimal
Can these 'good' choices be made deterministically?
Derandomization: Transforming a randomized algorithm into a deterministic algorithm

Can the 7/8-approx Las Vegas Algorithm for maX-3-sat be derandomized?

How do we know which set of choices for variable assignments is 'good'?
i.e. satisfies greater number of clauses

Idea: Consider the choice for each variable (True/False) one by one

## MAX-3-SAT : Derandomization

Let $Z$ be the number of clauses satisfied
Given assignments for the "first $i$ " variables $x_{1}=a_{1} \cdots, x_{i}=a_{i}$, the expected value of $Z$ with random assignment of the unassigned variables $x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ can be computed in polynomial time

Given assignment to a variable, for each clause $C_{j}$ if the corresponding literal evaluates to

- FALSE, then remove it from $C_{j}$

■ TRUE, then ignore the clause as it is satisfied
Conditional expectation of $Z$ is the unconditional expectation of $Z$ in the reduced set of clauses plus the number of already satisfied clauses

This yields a polynomial time deterministic algorithm for MAX-3-SAT

## MAX-3-SAT : Derandomization

Let $Z$ be the number of clauses satisfied
1 Fix an order of variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$
2 For $i=1$ to $n$, If

$$
E\left[Z \mid x_{1}=a_{1}, \cdot \cdot, x_{i-1}=a_{i-1}, x_{i}=\text { TRUE }\right]>E\left[Z \mid x_{1}=a_{1}, \cdot \cdot, x_{i-1}=a_{i-1}, x_{i}=\text { FALSE }\right]
$$

- then set $x_{i}$ to TRUE
- else set $x_{i}$ to FALSE

■ Since $E\left[Z \mid x_{1}=a_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}=a_{i}\right] \geq E[Z] \quad$ for $\quad 1 \leq i \leq n$

- And $E[Z]=7 \mathrm{~m} / 8$
- Thus, $E\left[Z \mid x_{1}=a_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}=a_{i}\right] \geq 7 m / 8$

Derandomized algorithm satisfies at least $7 \mathrm{~m} / 8$ clauses.

