Approximation Algorithms

- Approximation Algorithms for Optimization Problems: Types
- Absolute Approximation Algorithms
- Inapproximability by Absolute Approximate Algorithms
- Relative Approximation Algorithm
- InApproximability by Relative Approximate Algorithms
- Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes
- Fully Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes

Imdad ullah Khan

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

When you prove a problem X to be NP-HARD, then as per the almost consensus opinion of $P \neq NP$, it essentially means

- 1 There is no polynomial time
- 2 deterministic algorithm
- 3 to exactly/optimally solve the problem X
- 4 for all possible input instances

What are the option? Things to consider when your problem is $\operatorname{NP-HARD}$

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Coping with NP-HARDNESS

- Do I need to solve the problem for all valid input instances?
 - Sometimes just need to solve a restricted version of the problem -

▷ (special cases) that include realistic instances

True, but

- Is exponential-time OK for my instances?
 - Exponential-time algorithms are "not slow" ▷ they don't scale well
 - If relevant instances are small, then they may be acceptable
 - Can bring exponent/base of runtime down

Is non-optimality OK?

What if our algorithm is better than others

▷ faster than bruteforce

 $\triangleright 2^n \rightarrow 2^{\sqrt{n}} \text{ or } 2^n \rightarrow 1.5^n$



Approaches to tackle hard problems

- **1** Special Cases: Relevant structure on which the problem is easy
 - Exact results in poly-time only for special cases or a range of parameters
- 2 Intelligent Exhaustive Search: Exponential time in worst case
 - The base and/or exponent are usually smaller
 - Could be efficient on typical more realistic instances
 - Backtracking, Brand-and-Bound
- **3** Nearly exact solutions: Output is '*close*' to exact (optimal) solution
 - Approximation Algorithms: Solutions of guaranteed quality in poly-time
 - Heuristic: Solutions hopefully good in poly-time
- 4 Randomized Algorithms: Use coin flips for making decisions
 - Typically used for approximation, also used for problems in P

To cope with $\operatorname{NP-HARDNESS}$, sacrifice one of these features

Poly-time	Deterministic	Exact/Opt Solution	All cases/ Parameters	Algorithmic Paradigm
1	1	1	×	Special Cases Algorithms Fixed Parameter Tractability
1	1	×	1	Approximation Algorithms Heuristic Algorithms
×	1	1	1	Intelligent Exhaustive Search
1	×	$\mathbb{E}(\checkmark)$	1	Mote Carlo Randomized Algorithm
$\mathbb{E}(\checkmark)$	×	1	1	Las Vegas Randomized Algorithm

- Special cases of input instances (based on structure of a range of parameter(s))
- Approximation algorithms guarantee a bound on suboptimality
- Heuristics algorithms do not have any guarantee
- Randomized algorithms are generally used for problems in class P

Optimization Problems

An optimization problem P is characterized by three things

- \mathcal{I} : set of (valid) input instances
- S(I): solution space, set of feasible solutions for an instance $I \in \mathcal{I}$
- $f: S(I) \to \mathbb{R}$: function giving value to each feasible solution

く 何 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

An optimization problem P is characterized by three things

- \mathcal{I} : set of (valid) input instances
- S(I): solution space, set of feasible solutions for an instance $I \in \mathcal{I}$
- $f: S(I) \to \mathbb{R}$: function giving value to each feasible solution

Optimization Problem can be

• A maximization problem: Given $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the objective is to find a solution $s^* \in S(I)$ such that $f(s^*)$ is maximum, i.e.

$$\forall s \in S(I), f(s^*) \geq f(s)$$

A minimization problem is defined analogously

An optimization problem P is characterized by three things

- \mathcal{I} : set of (valid) input instances
- S(I): solution space, set of feasible solutions for an instance $I \in \mathcal{I}$
- $f: S(I) \to \mathbb{R}$: function giving value to each feasible solution

Optimization Problem can be

• A maximization problem: Given $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the objective is to find a solution $s^* \in S(I)$ such that $f(s^*)$ is maximum, i.e.

$$\forall s \in S(I), f(s^*) \geq f(s)$$

• A minimization problem is defined analogously

Note that optimal solution (s^*) need not be unique

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

Relax the requirement that algorithm always outputs optimal solution

Instead look for a feasible solution s', whose value f(s') is **close** to the value of optimal solution s^*

An approximation algorithm A for an optimization problem P, is a **polynomial time** algorithm that on input instance $I \in \mathcal{I}$ outputs a solution $s \in S(I)$ such that f(s) is close to $f(s^*)$

- A(I): the solution output by A
- OPT(I): an optimal solution

We seek worst case closeness guarantees on values of outputs of A

i.e. we try to bound $\max_{l \in \mathcal{I}} |f(A(l)) - f(OPT(l))|$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Absolute Approximation Algorithms

Given an optimization problem P with value function f on solution space

An algorithm A is called **absolute approximation** algorithm if there is a constant k such that for any instance I

 $\left|f(A(I)) - f(OPT(I))\right| \leq k$

• For a minimization problem this means $f(A(I)) \leq f(OPT(I)) + k$

• For a maximization problem this means $f(A(I)) \ge f(OPT(I)) - k$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Approximation Factor/Ratio

Given an optimization problem P with value function f on solution space

The approximation ratio or approximation factor of an algorithm A is defined as the ratio *'between'* value of output of A and value of OPT

- For minimization problem it is f(A(I))/f(OPT(I))
- For maximization problem it is f(OPT(I))/f(A(I))

 \triangleright Note: approximation factor is always bigger than 1

Generally, approximation factor is defined as max <

$$X\left\{\frac{f(A(l))}{f(OPT(l))},\frac{f(OPT(l))}{f(A(l))}\right\}$$

Relative Approximation Algorithm

Given an optimization problem P with value function f on solution space

An algorithm A is called a $\alpha(n)$ -**approximate** algorithm, if for any instance I of size n, A achieves an approximation ratio $\alpha(n)$

• For a minimization problem this means $f(A(I)) \leq \alpha(n) \cdot f(OPT(I))$

• For a maximization problem this means $f(A(I)) \geq 1/\alpha(n) \cdot f(OPT(I))$

Constant Factor (relative) Approximation Algorithm

Given an optimization problem P with value function f on solution space

An algorithm A is called an α -approximate algorithm, if for any instance I, A achieves an approximation ratio α

• For a minimization problem this means $f(A(I)) \leq \alpha \cdot f(OPT(I))$

• For a maximization problem this means $f(A(I)) \geq 1/\alpha \cdot f(OPT(I))$

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

Approximation Error

Given an optimization problem P with value function f on solution space

The approximation error of A is its approximation factor minus 1

- For a minimization problem it is f(A(I))/f(OPT(I)) - 1 = f(A(I)) - f(OPT(I))/f(OPT(I))
- For a maximization problem it is f(OPT(I))/f(A(I)) - 1 = f(OPT(I)) - f(A(I))/f(A(I))

 \triangleright Useful when approximation ratio is close to 1

Also called relative approximation error

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

Polynomial Time Approximation Scheme (PTAS)

Given an optimization problem P with value function f on solution space

A family of algorithms $A(\epsilon)$ is called a **polynomial time approximation scheme** if for a given parameter ϵ , on any instance I, $A(\epsilon)$ achieves an approximation error ϵ and runtime of A is polynomial in |I| = n

For a minimization problem this means $f(A(I)) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \cdot f(OPT(I))$

For a maximization problem this means $f(A(I)) \ge (1 - \epsilon) \cdot f(OPT(I))$

Runtime of A could be exponential in $1/\epsilon$ \triangleright e.g. $O(n^{1/\epsilon})$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Fully Polynomial Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS)

Given an optimization problem P with value function f on solution space

A family of algorithms $A(\epsilon)$ is called a **fully polynomial time approximation scheme** if for a given ϵ , on any instance I, $A(\epsilon)$ achieves an approximation error ϵ and runtime of A is polynomial in |I| = n and $1/\epsilon$

For a minimization problem this means $f(A(I)) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \cdot f(OPT(I))$

• For a maximization problem this means $f(A(I)) \ge (1 - \epsilon) \cdot f(OPT(I))$

Runtime of A cannot be exponential in $1/\epsilon$ \triangleright e.g. $O(1/\epsilon^2 n^3)$

Constant factor decrease in ϵ increases runtime by a constant factor

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

Some simple exercises to clarify the definitions

- What does an k-absolute approximate algorithm mean for k = 0?
- What does an α -approximate algorithm mean for $\alpha = 1$?
- What is the error of 2-approximate algorithm?
- What is the approx. factor of an algor with 1% approx. error?
- Is α -approximate algorithm the same $\alpha = (1 + \epsilon)$ -PTAS ?
- An absolute approximate algorithm is the most desirable, why?
- Absolute approximate algorithms are rare, FPTAS is the next desirable
 Not known for many problem, but when available they are almost as good as an optimal algorithm

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト