

Classes of Problems

- Polynomial Time Verification
- The Classes P and NP
- The Classes EXP and CONP
- NP-HARD and NP-COMPLETE Problems
- Proving NP-HARDNESS
- A first NP-COMPLETE Problem

IMDAD ULLAH KHAN

Polynomial Time Verification

Computing solution to a problem vs checking a proposed solution

- Sometimes computing and verifying a solution are both “easy”
 - e.g. we can compute a MST of a graph and verify whether a claimed solution is indeed a MST in polynomial time
- Sometimes computing is not easy (yet) but verifying is easy
 - e.g. $3\text{-SAT}(f)$ we don't know how to find a satisfying solution (or decide if one exists)
 - But verifying a claimed solution can be done in one scan of f
- Sometimes both computing and verifying a “claim” are not easy
 - e.g. not even clear how to “make” the claim that “ G has no Hamiltonian cycle”?

Polynomial Time Verification

Need to formalize “checking a solution easily” independent of computation

A decision problem X is efficiently verifiable if

- 1 The claim: “ \mathcal{I} is a **Yes** instance of X ” can be made in polynomial bits
 - There exists a polynomial sized certificate for **Yes** instances of X
- 2 A certificate can be verified in polynomial time
 - There exists a polynomial time algorithm \mathcal{V} that takes the instance \mathcal{I} and the certificate \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Yes}$ iff $X(\mathcal{I}) = \mathbf{Yes}$

It takes some time to comprehend this, examples should make it clear

Polynomial Time Verification

The $\text{MST}(G, k)$ problem: Is there a spanning tree of G of weight $\leq k$?

$\text{MST}(G, k)$ is polynomial time verifiable

- A certificate could be the “*claimed spanning tree*” T for G
 - T can be written by writing vertices ids in some order $\triangleright O(n \log n)$ bits
 - Adjacency matrix of edges in T $\triangleright O(n^2)$ bits
- A verifier can check
 - if vertices of T are in G
 - If all edges in T are actually from G
 - If sum of weights of edges is k
- **Alternatively**, a certificate could be an empty string $\triangleright 0$ bits
- A verifier can run Kruskal’s algorithm to find a MST T of G
- If $w(T) \leq k$, it verifies the claim otherwise rejects the claim

Polynomial Time Verification

3-SAT(f) is polynomial time verifiable

- A certificate would be the assignment of 0 and 1's to all variables
- A verifier can evaluate f with the assignment and if the value of f is 1 it outputs **Yes** (=verified) otherwise **No** (=not verified)

Note that we do not have to design a verifier or a technique for certifying, we only need to prove their existence

- Verifier does not have to be unique
- There can be many ways to certify
 - ▷ e.g. an independent set can be certified as the set of vertices, set of edges, complements thereof
- Verifier does not have to read the certificate, recall the requirement $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Yes}$ iff $X(\mathcal{I}) = \mathbf{Yes}$

Polynomial Time Verification

CLIQUE(G, k) is polynomial time verifiable

Given an instance $[G, k]$ of CLIQUE(G, k)

- What could be a certificate of claim “[G, k] is **Yes** instance of CLIQUE(\cdot, \cdot)”?
 - ▷ What evidence prove that G has a clique of size k ?
- Is the certificate of polynomial length?
- How can we verify that indeed $[G, k]$ is a **Yes** instance of CLIQUE(G, k)
 - ▷ Does the verifier need to read the certificate?
- Is the verifier a polynomial time algorithm?

Polynomial Time Verification

$\text{PRIME}(n)$ and $\text{COMPOSITE}(n)$ are polynomial time verifiable

▷ Note that they are complement of each other

- A certificate for the $\text{COMPOSITE}(n)$ problem can be a factor d
- A verifier can just confirm that $1 < d < n$ and $d|n$

Theorem (AKS(2004))

There exists a polynomial time algorithm to check whether an integer is prime

- A certificate for $\text{PRIME}(n)$ can be an empty string
- A verifier exists by the above theorem, using that if n is prime we verify the claim if n is not a prime we reject the claim

Polynomial Time Verification

VERTEX-COVER(G, k) is polynomial time verifiable

- What could be a certificate of claim “ G has a vertex cover of size k ”?
- How can we verify that indeed “ G has a vertex cover of size k ”?

HAMILTONIAN(G) is polynomial time verifiable

- What could be a certificate of claim “ G has a Hamiltonian cycle?”
- How can we verify that indeed G has a Hamiltonian cycle?

Polynomial Time Verification

Are all problems “efficiently” verifiable?

$\overline{3\text{-SAT}}(f)$

It decides whether the given formula f is not satisfiable

▷ sometime referred to as $\text{UNSAT}(f)$

Suppose one wants to claim that the formula f is not satisfiable

▷ Meaning this f is a **Yes** instance of $\overline{3\text{-SAT}}(f)$

How can one make a polynomial sized certificate to make the claim?

▷ “[0, 1, 1, 0, ... 1] *does not satisfy* f ”, *does not mean* f is not satisfiable

Polynomial Time Verification

Are all problems “efficiently” verifiable?

Are the following problems polynomial time verifiable?

- HAMILTONIAN(G):

- ▷ It requires **Yes** output, if G does not have a Hamiltonian cycle

- NO-INDEPENDENT-SET(G, k):

- ▷ It requires **Yes** output, if G does not have an independent set of size k

- MOSTLY-LONG-PATHS(G, s, t, k):

- ▷ Are majority of paths from s to t in G have length at least k