# Approximation Algorithms

- Approximation Algorithms for Optimization Problems: Types
- Absolute Approximation Algorithms
- Inapproximability by Absolute Approximate Algorithms
- Relative Approximation Algorithm
- InApproximability by Relative Approximate Algorithms
- Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes
- Fully Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes

# Imdad Ullah Khan

## Negative Results for Absolute Approximation

- Absolute approximation algorithms are the most desired
  - For large objective values, small additive error is negligible
- Generally absolute approximation algorithms exists for problems where the optimal value lie in a small range
- The hardness of such problems is determining the exact value of the optimum solution within this range
- An absolute approximate algorithm finds solution within a small range and uses the fact that the range is small to get a tight guarantee
- Not many hard problems have an absolute approximation algorithm
- Typically such impossibility of absolute approximation (inapproximability) results use the scaling method

Broad idea of scaling

- Scale up certain parameters associated with the instance
- Then show that if there is an absolute approximate algorithm for the scaled up instance, then the solution can be rescaled to get an optimum solution for the original instance
- $\blacksquare$  This yields an efficient algorithm to solve the  ${\rm NP-HARD}$  optimization problem, which by our assumption of  ${\rm P}\neq {\rm NP}$  is not possible

#### Maximum Independent Set Problem

An independent set in G is subset of vertices no two of which are adjacent



The MAX-IND-SET(G) problem (MIS): Find a max independent set in G?

IMDAD ULLAH KHAN (LUMS)

## MIS: Impossibility of absolute approximation

If  $\mathrm{P}\neq\mathrm{NP},$  then there is no polynomial time k-absolute approximation algorithm for the  $\mathrm{MIS}$  problem

- Suppose there is a k-absolute approximation algorithm A
- Scale the original instance I by a factor of 2 (call this instance 2I)



In I Max Ind. set is of size 5



In 21 Max Ind. set is of size 10

Note: f(Opt(2I)) = 2f(Opt(I))

- Run  $\mathcal{A}$  on 2I to get an ind-set of size  $\geq f(OPT(2I)) k = 2f(OPT(I)) k$
- This gives an independent set in I of size  $\geq f(OPT(I)) k/2$
- We got a better k/2-absolute approximate algorithm
- Repeat the scaling trick, the approximation guarantee drops below 1
- Using integrality of optimal solution we get an optimal solution

## MIS: Impossibility of absolute approximation

If  $\mathrm{P}\neq\mathrm{NP},$  then there is no polynomial time k-absolute approximation algorithm for the  $\mathrm{MIS}$  problem

- Suppose there is a k-absolute approximation algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$
- Scale the original instance G by a factor of (k + 1) (call it G')



Note: f(OPT(G')) = (k + 1)f(OPT(G))

- $\mathcal{A}$  on G' gives ind-set of size  $\geq f(\operatorname{OPT}(G')) k = (k+1)f(\operatorname{OPT}(G)) k$
- We get an ind-set in G of size  $\geq f(OPT(G)) k/k+1 \geq f(OPT(G))$
- Hence we get a maximum independent set in G (of size f(OPT(G))
- $\blacksquare$  Thus, we solved  $\underline{\rm MIS}$  problem in poly-time and proved  ${\rm P}={\rm NP}$

# The KNAPSACK Problem

## Input:

• Items:  $U = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ 

• Weights: 
$$w: U \to \mathbb{Z}^+$$

- Values:  $v: U \to \mathbb{Z}^+$
- Capacity:  $C \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

# Output:

- A subset  $S \subset U$
- Capacity constraint:

$$\sum_{a_i\in S}w_i\leq C$$

Objective: Maximize

$$\sum_{a_i\in S} v_i$$

▷ Fixed order

 $\triangleright$   $(w_1,\ldots,w_n)$ 

#### KNAPSACK: Impossibility of Absolute Approximation

If  $P \neq NP$ , then there is no polynomial time *k*-absolute approximation algorithm for the KNAPSACK problem

- Suppose *A* is a *k*-absolute approximation algorithm for KNAPSACK
- Consider an instance I = [U, w, v, C]
- Make an instance I' = [U, w, v', C], with  $v'_i = 2k \cdot v_i$

▷ Note that f(OPT(I')) = (2k)f(OPT(I))

- Run  $\mathcal{A}$  on I' to get a  $S \subseteq U$  of total capacity  $\leq C$  and total value  $\geq f(OPT(I')) - k = (2k)f(OPT(I)) - k$
- The same subset S is a solution of I of value (by v) (2kf(OPT(I))-k)/2k = f(OPT(I)) - 1/2
- By integrality, S is an optimal solution to I, contradicting  $P \neq NP$