

## Classes of Problems

- Polynomial Time Verification
- The Classes P and NP
- The Classes EXP and CONP
- NP-HARD and NP-COMPLETE Problems
- Proving NP-HARDNESS
- A first NP-COMPLETE Problem

IMDAD ULLAH KHAN

# Polynomial Time Verification

---

## Computing solution to a problem vs checking a proposed solution

- Sometimes computing and verifying a solution are both “easy”
  - e.g. we can compute a MST of a graph and verify whether a claimed solution is indeed a MST in polynomial time
- Sometimes computing is not easy (yet) but verifying is easy
  - e.g.  $3\text{-SAT}(f)$  we don't know how to find a satisfying solution (or decide if one exists)
  - But verifying a claimed solution can be done in one scan of  $f$
- Sometimes both computing and verifying a “claim” are not easy
  - e.g. not even clear how to “make” the claim that “ $G$  has no Hamiltonian cycle”?

# Polynomial Time Verification

Need to formalize “checking a solution easily” independent of computation

A decision problem  $X$  is efficiently verifiable if

- 1 The claim: “ $\mathcal{I}$  is a **Yes** instance of  $X$ ” can be made in polynomial bits
  - There exists a polynomial sized certificate for **Yes** instances of  $X$
- 2 A certificate can be verified in polynomial time
  - There exists a polynomial time algorithm  $\mathcal{V}$  that takes the instance  $\mathcal{I}$  and the certificate  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Yes}$  iff  $X(\mathcal{I}) = \mathbf{Yes}$

It takes some time to comprehend this, examples should make it clear

# Polynomial Time Verification

The  $\text{MST}(G, k)$  problem: Is there a spanning tree of  $G$  of weight  $\leq k$ ?

$\text{MST}(G, k)$  is polynomial time verifiable

- A certificate could be the “*claimed spanning tree*”  $T$  for  $G$ 
  - $T$  can be written by writing vertices ids in some order  $\triangleright O(n \log n)$  bits
  - Adjacency matrix of edges in  $T$   $\triangleright O(n^2)$  bits
- A verifier can check
  - if vertices of  $T$  are in  $G$
  - If all edges in  $T$  are actually from  $G$
  - If sum of weights of edges is  $k$
- **Alternatively**, a certificate could be an empty string  $\triangleright 0$  bits
- A verifier can run Kruskal’s algorithm to find a MST  $T$  of  $G$
- If  $w(T) \leq k$ , it verifies the claim otherwise rejects the claim

# Polynomial Time Verification

## 3-SAT( $f$ ) is polynomial time verifiable

- A certificate would be the assignment of 0 and 1's to all variables
- A verifier can evaluate  $f$  with the assignment and if the value of  $f$  is 1 it outputs **Yes** (=verified) otherwise **No** (=not verified)

Note that we do not have to design a verifier or a technique for certifying, we only need to prove their existence

- Verifier does not have to be unique
- There can be many ways to certify
  - ▷ e.g. an independent set can be certified as the set of vertices, set of edges, complements thereof
- Verifier does not have to read the certificate, recall the requirement  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Yes}$  iff  $X(\mathcal{I}) = \mathbf{Yes}$

# Polynomial Time Verification

$\text{CLIQUE}(G, k)$  is polynomial time verifiable

Given an instance  $[G, k]$  of  $\text{CLIQUE}(G, k)$

What could be a certificate of claim “[ $G, k$ ] is **Yes** instance of  $\text{CLIQUE}(\cdot, \cdot)$ ”?

▷ What evidence prove that  $G$  has a clique of size  $k$ ?

Is the certificate of polynomial length?

How can we verify that indeed  $[G, k]$  is a **Yes** instance of  $\text{CLIQUE}(G, k)$

▷ Does the verifier need to read the certificate?

Is the verifier a polynomial time algorithm?

# Polynomial Time Verification

$\text{PRIME}(n)$  and  $\text{COMPOSITE}(n)$  are polynomial time verifiable

▷ Note that they are complement of each other

- A certificate for the  $\text{COMPOSITE}(n)$  problem can be a factor  $d$
- A verifier can just confirm that  $1 < d < n$  and  $d|n$

## Theorem (AKS(2004))

*There exists a polynomial time algorithm to check whether an integer is prime*

- A certificate for  $\text{PRIME}(n)$  can be an empty string
- A verifier exists by the above theorem, using that if  $n$  is prime we verify the claim if  $n$  is not a prime we reject the claim

## Polynomial Time Verification

---

VERTEX-COVER( $G, k$ ) is polynomial time verifiable

- What could be a certificate of claim “ $G$  has a vertex cover of size  $k$ ”?
- How can we verify that indeed “ $G$  has a vertex cover of size  $k$ ”?

HAMILTONIAN( $G$ ) is polynomial time verifiable

- What could be a certificate of claim “ $G$  has a Hamiltonian cycle?”
- How can we verify that indeed  $G$  has a Hamiltonian cycle?



# Polynomial Time Verification

---

Are all problems “efficiently” verifiable?

$\overline{3\text{-SAT}}(f)$

It decides whether the given formula  $f$  is not satisfiable

▷ sometime referred to as  $\text{UNSAT}(f)$

Suppose one wants to claim that the formula  $f$  is not satisfiable

▷ Meaning this  $f$  is a **Yes** instance of  $\overline{3\text{-SAT}}(f)$

How can one make a polynomial sized certificate to make the claim?

▷ “[0, 1, 1, 0, ... 1] *does not satisfy*  $f$ ”, *does not mean*  $f$  is not satisfiable

# Polynomial Time Verification

---

Are all problems “efficiently” verifiable?

Are the following problems polynomial time verifiable?

- HAMILTONIAN( $G$ ):

- ▷ It requires **Yes** output, if  $G$  does not have a Hamiltonian cycle

- NO-INDEPENDENT-SET( $G, k$ ):

- ▷ It requires **Yes** output, if  $G$  does not have an independent set of size  $k$

- MOSTLY-LONG-PATHS( $G, s, t, k$ ):

- ▷ Are majority of paths from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G$  have length at least  $k$