Polynomial Time Reduction

- Polynomial Time Reduction Definition
- Reduction by Equivalence
- Reduction from Special Cases to General Case
- Reduction by Encoding with Gadgets
- Transitivity of Reductions
- Decision, Search and Optimization Problem
- Self-Reducibility

IMDAD ULLAH KHAN

Are versions of a problem polynomial time reducible to each other?

Many search and optimization problems are only polynomially more difficult than corresponding decision problem

> Any efficient algorithm for the decision problem can be used to solve the search problem efficiently

This is called **self-reducibility**

All the problems we discuss exhibit self-reducibility, where appropriate



By transitivity of reductions, all versions are equivalent > w.r.t polytime

Are versions of a problem polynomial time reducible to each other?

DEC-IND-SET
$$(G, k) \leq_p \text{MAX-IND-SET}(G)$$

Proof: Suppose A is an algorithm for MAX-IND-SET(G)

Given an instance [G, k] of DEC-IND-SET(G, k)

- $lue{1}$ Call ${\mathcal A}$ on ${\mathcal G}$
- **2** if the returned independent set is of size $\geq k$, then return **Yes**
- else return No
- 4 Need to check size of the returned set

▷ polynomial time

$$\text{DEC-IND-SET}(G, k) \leq_{p} \text{SRCH-IND-SET}(G, k)$$

Proof: Suppose A is an algorithm for SRCH-IND-SET(G, k)

Given an instance [G,k] of DEC-IND-SET(G,k)

- **1** Call \mathcal{A} on [G, k]
- **2** if it returns an independent set, then return **Yes**
- **3** else if it returns **NF**, then return **No**

$$SRCH-IND-SET(G, k) \leq_p MAX-IND-SET(G)$$

Proof: Suppose A is an algorithm for MAX-IND-SET(G)

Given an instance [G, k] of SRCH-IND-SET(G, k)

- 1 Call \mathcal{A} on G
- 2 if returned independent set is of size $\geq k$, then return the set (or any k vertices out of it)
- else return NF
- 4 Need to check size of the returned set and select k of it \triangleright poly-time

$$SRCH-IND-SET(G,k) \leq_p DEC-IND-SET(G,k)$$

Let A be an algorithm for DEC-IND-SET(G, k).

We use A to determine if a vertex is needed for an ind. set of size k

Algorithm Algorithm for SRCH-IND-SET(G, k) problem

```
\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \emptyset
t \leftarrow k
for v \in V(G) do
ans \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(G \setminus \{v\}, t)
if ans = yes then
V(G) \leftarrow V(G) \setminus \{v\}
else
V(G) \leftarrow V(G) \setminus \{v\}
\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cup \{v\}
t \leftarrow t - 1
```

 \triangleright Initialize an empty independent set

v is not needed

$$\text{MAX-IND-SET}(G) \leq_p \text{DEC-IND-SET}(G, k)$$

Suppose $\mathcal A$ is an algorithm for DEC-IND-SET(G,k)

First find the size of maximum independent set (optimal value)

- 1 For $t \geq 1$, call A on [G, t]
- 2 If it outputs **Yes** increment t until the output is **No**
- 3 Let k be the last t for which there is a **Yes** answer

This k is the size of max independent set

Find a k-ind.set using previous algo (SRCH-IND-SET(G, k) \leq_p DEC-IND-SET(G, k))

Note that it uses monotonicity of independent sets

We should use binary search for the last **Yes** answer, Why?

▶ It may be essential to keep reduction polynomial time

Self Reducibility: Hamiltonian Path

$SRCH-HAM-PATH(G) \leq_p DEC-HAM-PATH(G)$

Suppose ${\mathcal A}$ is an algorithm for DEC-HAM-PATH(G)

- **1** Call \mathcal{A} on G, if it returns **No** then return **NF**
- **2** For each vertex v, call \mathcal{A} on $G \setminus \{v\}$

 \triangleright select or de-select v? All vertices have to be in Ham path

- **1** For each edge e = (u, v), call A on $G \setminus \{e\}$
- 2 If it returns **Yes**, then e is not needed for Ham path, remove e from G
- If it returns **No**, then *e* is needed
- 4 In the end, only edges of a Ham path will remain

Self Reducibility: Vertex Cover

$SRCH-VERTEX-COVER(G, k) \leq_{p} DEC-VERTEX-COVER(G, k)$

Suppose $\mathcal A$ is an algorithm for DEC-VERTEX-COVER(G,k)

- **1** Call A on G and k, if it returns **No**, then return **NF**
- **2** For each vertex v, call \mathcal{A} on $G \setminus \{v\}$ and k
- If G has cover of size k, then $G \setminus \{v\}$ has a VC of size k

 \triangleright whether or not v is in the cover, we will get **Yes** answer

- **4** Call A on $G \setminus \{v\}$ and k-1, if it returns **Yes**, then $v \in k$ -sized cover
- **If** it returns **No**, then v is not part of any k-sized cover

Self Reducibility: Vertex Cover

$SRCH-VERTEX-COVER(G, k) \leq_p DEC-VERTEX-COVER(G, k)$

Suppose ${\mathcal A}$ is an algorithm for DEC-VERTEX-COVER(G,k)

- **I** Call A on G and k, if it returns **No**, then return **NF**
- **2** For each vertex v, call \mathcal{A} on $G \setminus \{v\}$ and k
- 3 If G has cover of size k, then $G \setminus \{v\}$ has a VC of size $k \triangleright$ whether or not v is in the cover, we will get **Yes** answer
- **4** Call A on $G \setminus \{v\}$ and k-1, if it returns **Yes**, then $v \in k$ -sized cover
- 5 If it returns **No**, then v is not part of any k-sized cover

Algorithm for SRCH-IND-SET(G, k) using A for DEC-IND-SET(G, k)

- 1: $\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \emptyset$ $t \leftarrow k$
- 2: for $v \in V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and while $t \ge 1$ do
- 3: $ans \leftarrow A(G \setminus \{v\}, t-1)$
- 4: **if** ans =**Yes** then
- 5: $\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{C} \cup \{v\}$ $t \leftarrow t-1$
- 6: $V(G) \leftarrow V(G) \setminus \{v\}$

Caution for Self Reducibility

CAUTION! self-reducibility **does not** mean that "any algorithm solving the decision version must use a solution of the search version"

The search version of FACTOR(n, k) problem is in a sense the 'complement of the PRIME(n) (and COMPOSITE(n)) problem

FACTOR(n, k): Find a factor of $n \in [2, k]$ else output **NF** \iff (n is prime)

The famous AKS (2004) theorem on primality testing uses involved number theory to solve the PRIME(n) and COMPOSITE(n) problem, but does not solve the search problem FACTOR(n, k) (no polynomial time algorithm is yet known for it)

In other words, there are search versions of the problem that are not known to be reducible to their decision versions

We focus on decision problems (or decision version of problems)