# Proofs

- Proofs: Terminology and Rules of Inference
- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contrapositive
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proofs using Case Analysis

### Imdad ullah Khan

An argument that convincingly demonstrates the truth of a statement

In mathematics,

A proof is a chain of logical deductions that demonstrates the truth of a proposition assuming the truth of some known axioms

- Direct proof to prove P 
  ightarrow Q
  - Assume P is true, with a chain of logical deductions conclude that Q is true
- Proof by contrapositive to prove P  $\rightarrow$  Q
  - Give a direct proof of  $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$
- Proof by contradiction to prove P
  - Assume  $\neg P$ , with a chain of logical deductions draw a contradiction

Proof using case analysis is not a proof method as such

- Break up complicated proof into cases
- Deal with each case separately (applying either of the methods above)
- Must cover all cases

## Proof using Case Analysis

Prove that The square of any real number is non-negative

### Proof:

Any real number must satisfy one of these three cases

**Case 1:** x = 0,  $x^2 = 0$  (non-negative)

**Case 2:** x > 0,  $x^2 > 0$  (non-negative)

**Case 3:** x < 0,  $x^2 > 0$  (non-negative)

We conclude that in all possible cases  $x^2 \ge 0$ 

## Proof usingCase Analysis

**ICP 8-10** Prove that the sum of two positive integers of the same parity (odd/even) is even.

**Proof:** Let *x* and *y* be the two positive integers (summands)

**Case 1:** Both x and y are even

x = 2a y = 2b for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

x + y = ?

**Case 2:** Both x and y are odd x = 2a + 1 y = 2b + 1 for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ x + y = ?

## Proof using Case Analysis

Prove that Every even perfect square is divisible by 4

#### Proof:

Let x be an even integer and a perfect square

Suppose x is not divisible by 4, then x must satisfy one of three cases

**Case 1:** x = 4k + 1,  $\implies x$  is odd

 $\triangleright$  a contradiction to assumption that x is even

**Case 2:** x = 4k + 2,  $\implies x = y^2$  is even, y is even, y = 2aSo  $x = (2a)^2 = 4a^2$ , hence 4 divides x

 $\triangleright$  a contradiction to assumption that x is not divisible by 4

**Case 3:**  $x = 4k + 3 \implies x$  is odd

 $\triangleright$  a contradiction to assumption that x is even

## Proofs by Case Analysis

**ICP 8-11** Prove that if x and y are real numbers, then  $\max\{x, y\} + \min\{x, y\} = x + y$ .

#### **Proof:**

**Case 1:** 
$$x > y \implies \max\{x, y\} = x \min\{x, y\} = y$$

Case 2: 
$$x < y \implies \max\{x, y\} = y \min\{x, y\} = x$$

**Case 3:**  $x = y \implies \max\{x, y\} = x \min\{x, y\} = x$ 

### Proof using Case Analysis

Given any two persons they are either friends or they are strangers

#### Theorem

Every collection of 6 people contains a group of 3 friends or a group of 3 strangers

Let  $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$  be an arbitrary collection of 6 people

#### Theorem

Every collection of 6 people contains a group of 3 friends or a group of 3 strangers

Consider a (fixed) person a

**Case 1:** Among the remaining there are  $\geq$  3 people who are all friends with *a* 

**Case 2:** Among the remaining there are  $\geq 3$  people who are all strangers to *a* 

One of these two cases must happen

#### Theorem

Every collection of 6 people contains a group of 3 friends or a group of 3 strangers

**Case 1:** Among the remaining there are  $\geq$  3 people who are all friends with *a* 

**Case 1.1:** Among the  $\geq$  3 friends of *a* there are two who are friends with each other

**Case 1.2:** All the  $\geq$  3 friends of *a* are strangers to each other

All subcases covered

#### Theorem

Every collection of 6 people contains a group of 3 friends or a group of 3 strangers

**Case 2:** Among the remaining there are  $\geq 3$  people who are all strangers to *a* 

**Case 2.1:** Among the  $\geq$  3 strangers to *a* there are two who are strangers to each other

**Case 2.2:** All the  $\geq$  3 strangers to *a* are friends with each other

All subcases covered

**ICP 8-12** Prove that if x and y are real numbers, then  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$ .

**Proof:** Without loss of generality assume  $x \ge y$   $\triangleright$  if not rename them

**Case 1:**  $y \ge 0 \implies x > 0$ 

**Case 2:**  $y < 0 \ x \ge 0$ 

**Case 3:**  $x < 0 \implies y < 0$