# Proofs

- Proofs: Terminology and Rules of Inference
- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contrapositive
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proofs using Case Analysis

### Imdad ullah Khan

An argument that convincingly demonstrates the truth of a statement

In mathematics,

A proof is a chain of logical deductions that demonstrates the truth of a proposition assuming the truth of some known axioms

- Direct proof to prove P o Q
  - Assume P is true, with a chain of logical deductions conclude that Q is true
- Proof by contrapositive to prove P 
  ightarrow Q
  - Give a direct proof of  $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$

Suppose we want to prove some statement  ${\cal P}$  to be true

In proof by contradiction we argue that

if P is not true, then some contradiction must occur

- 1 Assume that *P* is false
- **2** Show that from this  $(\neg P)$  we can logically deduce some <u>contradiction</u>

The contradiction can be to

• the assumption  $\neg P$ 

• implying both P and  $\neg P$  are simultaneously true, a contradiction

• or to some known true statement S

• implying S is false, meaning both S and  $\neg S$  are simultaneously true

Prove that Square of an even integer is even

### Implication Form

Prove that If x is an even integer, then  $x^2$  is even

### **Proof:**

Assume that for an even x,  $x^2$  is odd

Let  $x^2 = 2k + 1 \implies x^2 - 1 = 2k$ 

$$\implies (x+1)(x-1) = 2k$$

negation of given implicationdefinition of odd integers

(x-1) and (x+1) are either both odd or both even

They have to be even for their product to be even

so x must be odd, a contradiction to our assumption, that x is even

Prove that If a + b > 7, then a > 3 or b > 4

**Proof:** 

Let a + b > 7

We want to show that either a > 3 or b > 4

Assume the contrary,  $a \leq 3 \land b \leq 4$ 

▷ negation of the implication

Add these two inequalities we get

 $a+b \leq 3+4=7$ 

This contradicts our assumption that a + b > 7

**ICP 8-8** Prove that there exist no integers *a* and *b* for which 21a + 30b = 1 pause

### **Proof:**

Suppose there exists  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

 $21a + 30b = 1 \implies 3(7a + 10b) = 1$ 

 $7a + 10b \in \mathbb{Z} \implies 3|1$ 



We will need one of the following two results

# Lemma 1 If n is even, then $n^2$ is also even Lemma 2 If n is odd, then $n^2$ is also odd

Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

**Proof:** Assume that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational

▷ for the sake of contradiction

i.e. 
$$\sqrt{2} = p/q$$
  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0$ 

Suppose p and q have no common factors  $\triangleright$  e.g. cancel any common factors

$$2 = p^2/q^2 \implies p^2 = 2q^2 \qquad \qquad \triangleright \text{ square both sides}$$
  
So  $p^2$  is even and  $p$  is even  $\qquad \qquad \triangleright \text{ ICP 8-6}$  By Lemma ?  
Let  $p = 2k$ , then  $p^2 = 4k^2 = 2q^2 \implies 2k^2 = q^2$   
Hence  $q^2$  is even, so  $q$  is even

p and q have 2 as a common factor

Proofs

## Irrational Numbers





credit: https://www.boredpanda.com/

**ICP 8-7** Prove that the sum of any rational number and any irrational number is an irrational number

**Proof:** 

Assume not

Suppose some rational number p/q and an irrational number r adds up to a rational number a/b

$$r + \frac{p}{q} = \frac{a}{b}$$

What can we say about r?

▷ Recall the theorem on sum of two rational numbers.

Prove that There are infinitely many prime numbers

Give a try to proving it using Direct Proof! or a Proof by Contrapositive!

We use the following two lemmas for proving this theorem

If  $x \ge 2$  and x divides y, then x does not divide y + 1

Proof by contradiction; it implies that x divides 1

Lemma 2

Lemma 1

Every number x has a prime divisor

Proof by Induction next week

Prove that There are infinitely many prime numbers

Proof: Assume (the contrary) that there are finitely many primes, say

$$p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$$

- Let  $S = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_n) + 1$   $\triangleright$  product of 'ALL' primes plus 1
- S is larger than all primes, hence can't be prime
- By Lemma 2, *S* has a prime divisor *p*
- This *p* must be (in the list)
- By construction, p divides S-1

Thus, p divides both S and S - 1, a contradiction to Lemma 1!

Reductio ad absurdum, which Euclid loved so much, is one of a mathematician's finest weapons. It is a far finer gambit than any chess play: a chess player may offer the sacrifice of a pawn or even a piece, but a mathematician offers the game

G.H. Hardy, A Mathematician's Apology