## Discrete Mathematics

## Proofs

■ Proofs: Terminology and Rules of Inference

- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contrapositive
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proofs using Case Analysis

Imdad ullah Khan

## Proofs

An argument that convincingly demonstrates the truth of a statement

## Proofs in Computer Science

■ Prove that an algorithm is correct
■ Prove that an algorithm has a particular runtime
■ Data structure proofs often lead to efficient and simpler algorithms
■ Develops useful habits in thinking: e.g.

- working with precise notations and definitions
- exactly and unambiguously formulating statements
- paying attention to all possibilities



## Proof

An argument that convincingly demonstrates the truth of a statement

In mathematics,

A proof is a chain of logical deductions that demonstrates the truth of a proposition assuming the truth of some known axioms

## Terminology

■ Axiom: A basic assumption about mathematical structure that is accepted to be true. e.g.

- There is a straight line between any two points
- $2>1$

■ Theorem: Important proposition that has a proof

- Lemma: Proposition that serves as an intermediate step in proof of a theorem
- Corollary: Proposition that follows directly (easily) from a theorem
- Essentially a special case of the general statement of the theorem

■ Rules of Inference: The justification for the steps in the chain of deductions in a proof

■ Fallacy: An incorrect reasoning or deduction

## Axioms of Euclidean Geometry



Any two points can be joined by exactly one line segment


Any line segmented can be extended into a line


Given a point $p$ and a length $r$, there is a circle of radius $r$ with center $p$


Any two right angles are congruent


Given a line $\ell$ and a point $p$ not on $\ell$, there is exactly one line through $p$ parallel to $\ell$


The first page of the first printed edition of Euclid's Elements, published in 1482.

## Theorems in Euclidean Geometry

The Pythogorus theorem


The Triangle Angles Sum theorem


## Rules of Inference

## modus ponens

Suppose we know (have a proof) that
$1 P$ is true and

$$
\frac{P, P \rightarrow Q}{Q}
$$

$2 P \rightarrow Q$ is true
Then $Q$ must be true
$P$ and $P \rightarrow Q$ are two hypotheses and $Q$ is the conclusion in this case
$\because$ the following is a tautology

$$
P \wedge(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow Q
$$

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \rightarrow Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

## Rules of Inference

## modus tollens

Suppose we know (have a proof) that
$1 Q$ is false and

$$
\frac{\neg Q, \quad P \rightarrow Q}{\neg P}
$$

$2 P \rightarrow Q$ is true
Then $P$ must be false
$\neg Q$ and $P \rightarrow Q$ are two hypotheses and $\neg P$ is the conclusion in this case
$\because$ the following is a tautology

$$
\neg Q \wedge(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow \neg P
$$

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \rightarrow Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

## Rules of Inference

## hypothetical syllogism

Suppose we know (have a proof) that
I $P \rightarrow Q$ is true $\because$ and
(2) $Q \rightarrow R$ is true


Then $P \rightarrow R$ must be true

## Fallacies

Theorem
$2=1 \quad$ ?

## Proof:

Let $\quad a=b$
$\Longrightarrow a^{2}=a b$
$\Longrightarrow a^{2}+a^{2}-2 a b=a b+a^{2}-2 a b$
$\Longrightarrow 2\left(a^{2}-a b\right)=a^{2}-a b$
$\Longrightarrow 2=1$
$\triangleright$ Assumption
$\triangleright$ multiply by a
$\triangleright$ add $a^{2}-2 a b$
$\triangleright$ divide by $a^{2}-a b$

A proof is an argument that can withstand all criticisms from a highly caffeinated adversary (your TA).
quote from 15-251@CMU

A mathematical proof should resemble a simple and clear-cut constellation, not a scattered cluster in the Milky Way.

G.H. Hardy, A Mathematician's Apology

The mathematician's patterns, like the painter's or the poet's must be beautiful; the ideas like the colours or the words, must fit together in a harmonious way. Beauty is the first test: there is no permanent place in the world for ugly mathematics.
G. H. Hardy

## Proving Statements

## Pythagoras's Theorem ( $\sim 500 \mathrm{BC}$ )

$a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$ has solutions where $a, b$, and $c$ are positive integers


This statement is TRUE,
e.g. $a=3, b=4$, and $c=5$

## Proving Statements

## Fermat's Last Theorem (1637)

$a^{3}+b^{3}=c^{3}$ has no solution where $a, b, c$ are positive integers

Andrew Wiles (1994) proved this statement to be TRUE


■ Wiles announced "proof" on 23 June 1993

- In September 1993 error was found in the proof
- On 19 September 1994, Wiles corrected the proof
- The corrected proof was published in 1995


## Proving Statements

```
Euler Conjecture (1769)
a}\mp@subsup{a}{}{4}+\mp@subsup{b}{}{4}+\mp@subsup{c}{}{4}=\mp@subsup{d}{}{4}\mathrm{ has no solutions where a,b,c,d are positive integers
```

Noam Elkies (1987) proved this statement FALSE
$a=2682440$,
$b=15365639$,
$c=18796760$,
$d=20615673$,

$$
3^{3}+4^{3}+5^{3}=6^{3}
$$

is a solution

## Proving Statements

## Goldbach Conjecture (1742)

Every even integer $>2$ is the sum of two primes


Sum of two primes at intersection of two lines. (source: Wikipedia)

- No one yet knows the truth value of this statement

■ Every even integer ever checked is a sum of two primes
■ Just one counter-example will disprove the claim

■ Homework!

## Proving Statements

## Conjecture (1852)

Regions of any 2-d map can be colored with 4 colors so that no neighboring regions have the same color.


## Graphs Applications: Coloring

- Kempe (1879) announced a proof
- Tait (1880) announced an alternative proof
- Heawood (1890) found a flaw in Kempe's proof
- Petersen (1881) found a flaw in Tait's proof
- Heesch (1969) reduced the statement to checking a large number of cases
- Appel \& Haken (1976) gave a "proof", that involved a computer program to check 1936 cases (1200 hours of computer time)
- Robertson et.al. (1997) gave another simpler "proof" but still involved computer program


■ No human can check all the cases

- What if the program has a bug

■ What if the compiler/system hardware has a bug

